

CIA INTERNAL USE

MSD/PIC 20-60  
16 February 1960

TCO/DDP

25X1

Attention:   
Chief, Military Scientific Division/PIC

Request for Evaluation of CS-3/h23,420.

Reference U9-60 (P 39-60).

1. In response to your request the enclosed evaluation sheet has been prepared.

2. For further information please contact  on extension

25X1

25X1

25X1

Distribution:

- Orig. & 1 - Addressee
- 1 - CCS
- 1 - Proj. Folder
- 1 - NEB Chrono
- 1 - MSD Chrono

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		PHOTOGRAPHIC INTELLIGENCE CENTER	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DISSEM. NUMBER <b>CS-3/423,420</b>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <b>6 Jan. 60</b>	FIELD NUMBER	
Chief Country <b>USSR (Novosibirsk Oblast)</b>			
Subject <b>Plants 53 and 80 in Novosibirsk; Military Plants in Novosibirsk</b>			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>10</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <del>not</del> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Major  <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE  <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE  <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO                         </div> <div style="font-size: 2em; margin-right: 10px;">}</div> <div style="font-size: x-small;">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</div> </div>		<p><b>Your comment on the accuracy of the physical characteristics, locations, etc., of the plants described would be appreciated.</b></p>	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY:		<p><b>(A) Plants 53 and 80 are items of continuing interest.</b></p> <p><b>(C) Locations given for Plants 80 and 53 check out reasonably well with photographic materials. Plant 80 is enclosed by a wood fence rather than a concrete wall as mentioned in the CS report. Also, the underground facilities described in the CS report cannot be identified on the photography, but the other features such as conveyors, stacks, and rail service are visible.</b></p> <p><b>(E) More details on the materials stored or operations going on at Plants 53 and 80 would be valuable. Information is also desired on any new construction activities and the amount of waste material being disposed of. Perhaps with the aid of a chart the source could prepare a more useful sketch of the area of interest.</b></p> <p><b>REFERENCE: HTA/JR-5/58</b></p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Doubtful</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Sufficiently known</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. <i>More detail</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <i>Better organization</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
REQUIREMENTS			
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
G DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE			
H (IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
25X1 EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	COMPONENT	PHONE
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	

## NOTES TO EVALUATOR

EXPERIMENT. This is an experimental form, intended to obtain your guidance with minimum effort on your part and with maximum speed. If you see a way to serve these ends better please describe it, either in the comments section or on an attached sheet.

PURPOSE. This evaluation request is part of a determined effort to concentrate collection and reporting on what is most valuable to you. Because you are the best judge of value to you, your cooperation is essential.

SPEED. The sooner your guidance gets to those who need it, the sooner it can influence what you receive. So please do the job promptly -- if possible as soon as you have read the report. (See also "CLASSIFICATION . . ." and ". . . EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS.")

CLASSIFICATION AND CONTROLS. Please classify your evaluation independently of the classification of the report. If the report comes from a foreign liaison service and if you think part of your comment should not be passed back to that service, please indicate the portion that should be withheld.

FRANKNESS. We invite candid criticism, particularly when it is constructive. At stake here are national intelligence needs, taxpayers' money, and a collection mechanism with limited resources. None of these interests is served by too much concern with politeness or too little concern with faults.

ITALICS. On items printed in italics, an "X" by itself is very nearly useless; whenever possible, some explanation should be given. If you are in doubt as to what to comment upon, the following notes should help. Please tag each comment with the letter of the topic.

- A. If the subject is of greater interest to another component of your department or office, please refer this request there. If you rate the subject of major importance, please indicate whether the importance is temporary (to meet a deadline or complete a study) or continuing (because of relevance to major departmental or national intelligence needs), and briefly explain the nature of the need. If the subject of the report as stated does not clearly delineate the subject you have in mind, please restate the subject as you see it.
- C. If you consider the information doubtful or false, please briefly state basis for your opinion, unless "X" and comment on one of the last two items in D adequately states the case.
- D. Sufficiently known. If you can fairly easily say whether previous reports were by Foreign Service/Army/Navy/Air/other components of this Agency/US press/foreign press, please do so.

Conflicts. If this information conflicts with other information, please indicate nature of conflict, and, if possible, give origin of previous information.

Appears to be fabrication. Please explain.

- E. More detail/less detail/fragmentary. Please suggest the type of information that should have been omitted or included.

Better observation or exploitation. Please briefly explain basis for your opinion.

- F. If report partially satisfies your requirement, please identify the part, so that effort can be redirected to do you more good.

- H. The post-audit provided by candid evaluations, while most useful for current guidance, is less effective for planning. In planning for the PURPOSE stated above, we need requirements, not only for spot queries or gaps, but for major continuing needs. In view of intensified efforts to reduce marginal reporting, the analyst should not assume that his unstated needs will be recognized and that reporting to which he has become accustomed will continue indefinitely. If you have a priority need for information that is obtainable only by clandestine means, present a requirement for it.

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS; EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS.

In addition to an "X" in each appropriate section, explanation of your use of italicized items, and answers to "Collector's Questions," if any, we shall welcome comments on the substance of the report. In the interest of conserving your time, however, it may be well to remember that this evaluation will go not to a fellow analyst but to specialized collectors who need your guidance in collecting what -- and only what -- you most need.

If you need more space, use plain paper and staple it to this sheet.